

Below are a long string of emails. TL:DR Our initial email chain indicates that our agreement originally stated that Jason would get to choose pizza toppings for the remainder of the NFL season. Jason was not happy with that arrangement, so we revised. My brother, Andrew, says that if he had known that the pizza agreement would be eternally, he wouldn't have gone along with the compromise (this is funny, because he is not the one eating the pizza, I am). I maintain that had I known that Jason and I would get married, I also would not have agreed to it. I assumed we'd break up at some point, like had happened with all my previous boyfriends.

----- Forwarded message -----

**From:** Andrew  
**Date:** Fri, Aug 29, 2014 at 12:34 PM  
**Subject:** Re: Pizza justice  
**To:** Meredith

If I'd known that at the time I would not have agreed to the settlement. It's way out of proportion. Consider:

Jason gives up: The right to win one fantasy football game, that he actually lost.  
You give up: All freedom of pizza choice forever.

That's ridiculous. And as a contract, it's invalid, because he actually gave you no consideration - I was the one who got to keep the win. Which was mine anyway, so actually nobody got any consideration from Jason, who in retrospect was just doing what House Republicans did in the whole shutdown mess last year - invent a crisis and then demand totally unrelated concessions from other people in return for making their own crisis go away, only Jason is smarter than most House Republicans so it worked.

Now, in Jason's defense, if you were trying to get me to eat stuff like mustard pizza I'd also come up with some ridiculous reason why I in fact get to choose all the pizza toppings.

On Aug 29, 2014, at 1:21 PM, Meredith wrote:

We did have a separate agreement

Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

**From:** Jason  
**Date:** August 29, 2014 at 11:32:45 AM CDT  
**To:** Meredith  
**Subject:** Re: Pizza justice

Separate agreement. You sent that email before talking to me, but I said that it wasn't a very good recompense.

On Fri, Aug 29, 2014 at 9:52 AM, Meredith wrote:

Did you and I have a separate agreement just between the two of us or have you just convinced me that I agreed to your pizza for the rest of my life, when I actually didn't?

Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

**From:** Andrew  
**Date:** August 29, 2014 at 9:44:42 AM CDT  
**To:** Meredith  
**Subject:** Pizza justice

I have some documentary evidence from the time of the incident. Apparently the pizza concession was only intended to be in effect *for the remainder of the 2009 NFL season*, and "while hanging out with the [redacted] clan" which I would think would be interpreted as requiring the presence of more than one [redacted]. He was also to get his choice of Rock Band instrument. I accepted the settlement, with the stipulation that the pizza toppings not include anchovies or broccoli, because anchovies smell and I do not accept the premise that broccoli is a pizzatopping.

Begin forwarded message:

**From:** Meredith  
**Subject:** Re: fantasy scoring  
**Date:** September 15, 2009 at 5:46:09 PM EDT  
**To:** Andrew  
**Cc:** Jason

Ok. No more arguments. I've decided that I agree with giff. Scores will be returned to what they were pre-changes, and changes will take effect this coming week.

I've also decided that since Jason will remain a loser, he gets two important perks when hanging out with the [redacted] clan for the remainder of football season:

1. First choice of rock band instrument
2. First choice of pizza toppings

I think that's fair, and although I haven't cleared it with Andrew, I'm sure he will graciously agree.

M

Sent from my (jerk)iPhone

On Sep 15, 2009, at 4:31 PM, Andrew wrote:

Whatever. You lost.

Sent from my iPhone

On Sep 15, 2009, at 5:29 PM, Jason wrote:

The rule of law is a myth, but that's a whole different conversation...